

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/510,071	SCIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LI B. ZHEN	2194	

All Participants:

(1) John V. Biernacki.

(2) LI B. ZHEN.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2008

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant’s Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner indicated that claims 1 (lines 22 - 27, the phrase "to be") and claim 9 (lines 21 - 24, the phrase "to be") recite the intended use of the check and dispatch tables. Mr. Biernacki authorized examiner to remove the phrase "to be" from the claims in an examiner's amendment.